UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN NORTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,	CASE NO: 09-20536-04
v.	DISTRICT JUDGE THOMAS L. LUDINGTON MAGISTRATE JUDGE CHARLES E. BINDER
DALE HAWN,	
Defendant.	

MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT, FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING PLEA OF GUILTY

I. REPORT AND FINDINGS

On June 10, 2010, this case was referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 636(b)(1)(B) and 636(b)(3) for purposes of receiving, on consent of the parties, Defendant's offer of a plea of guilty. Defendant, along with counsel, appeared before me on June 18, 2010. In open court, I examined Defendant under oath, confirmed Defendant's consent, and then advised and questioned Defendant regarding each of the inquiries prescribed by Rule 11(b) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

Based upon Defendant's answers and demeanor, **I HEREBY FIND:** (1) that Defendant is competent to tender a plea; (2) that Defendant's plea was knowingly, intelligently made; and (3) that the offense(s) to which Defendant pleaded guilty is(are) supported by an independent basis in fact containing each of the essential elements of the offense(s). Therefore, I have ordered the preparation of a presentence investigation report.

II. <u>RECOMMENDATION</u>

For the reasons set forth above, IT IS RECOMMENDED that, subject to the Court's

consideration of the plea agreement pursuant to Rule 11(c) of the Federal Rules of Criminal

Procedure, the Defendant's plea be accepted, the Defendant be adjudged guilty and have sentence

imposed.

III. <u>REVIEW</u>

The parties to this action may object to and seek review of this Report and Recommendation

within fourteen (14) days of service of a copy hereof as provided for in 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Failure to file specific objections constitutes a waiver of any further right of appeal. *Thomas v.*

Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 106 S. Ct. 466, 88 L. Ed.2d 435 (1985); Frontier Ins. Co. v. Blaty, 454 F.3d

590, 596 (6th Cir. 2006); *United States v. Sullivan*, 431 F.3d 976, 984 (6th Cir. 2005). The parties

are advised that making some objections, but failing to raise others, will not preserve all the

objections a party may have to this Report and Recommendation. McClanahan v. Comm'r of

Social Security, 474 F.3d 830, 837 (6th Cir. 2006); Frontier Ins. Co., 454 F.3d at 596-97. Pursuant

to E.D. Mich. LR 72.1(d)(2), a copy of any objections is to be served upon this Magistrate Judge.

Dated: June 18, 2010

CHARLES E. BINDER

United States Magistrate Judge

s/ Charles E Binder

CERTIFICATION

2

	I hereb	by certify	tha	at this R	eport ai	nd Re	ecomme	endation v	vas e	lectronic	ally	filed this	s date
electr	onically	served	on	Shane	Waller	and	Donna	Beasley,	and	served	on	District	Judge
Ludin	gton in t	the tradi	tiona	al mann	er.								

By <u>s/Jean L. Broucek</u> Case Manager to Magistrate Judge Binder Date: June 18, 2010